

REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending in the present application, were examined, Claims 1-9, 11 and 23-28 are amended, no claims are cancelled and no claims are added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of pending Claims 1-28 in view of at least the following remarks. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of record are requested in view of such amendments and the following discussion.

I. Amendment to the Specification

Applicant has amended paragraphs [0027] and [0044] to replace each reference of "service-control" with -- service control --. No new matter is added by such amendment. Thus, Applicant requests entry of the amendment.

II. Objection to the Drawings

The Examiner has objected to FIG. 1 for containing a typographical error. Applicant has amended FIG. 1 to replace "SCCPD" with -- SCPD --. In response, Applicant has provided a Replacement Sheet for FIG. 1.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings to replace each reference of "Upnp" and "UPNP" with -- UPnP --. In response, Applicant has provided a Replacement Sheet for each of FIGS. 5, 8 and 9.

III. Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to Claims 1, 2, 11, 24, 25 and 27 for minor informalities. In response, Applicant has amended Claims 1, 2 and 11 to replace each reference of "service-control" with -- service control -- and amended Claims 24, 25 and 27 to replace each reference of "stub method" with -- stub-method --.

IV. Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §101

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-9 and 23-28 for not clearly defining the term "method" in the preamble of the claims.. In response, Applicant has amended Claims 1-9 and 23-28 to define the term "method" as -- computer implemented method --.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that Claims 1-28 patentably define the subject invention over the cited references of record, and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would be useful in moving the case forward, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: September 13 2005


12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
(310) 207-3800

By: 

Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.


Marilyn Bass

September 13, 2005

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR UPnP DEVICE CODE GENERATION USING XML

Inventor: Murari Kumar

Application No.: 09/903,019

Sheet 1 of 4

Docket No. 42P11769

Replacement Sheet




FIG. 1

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR UPnP DEVICE CODE GENERATION USING XML

Inventor: Miran Kumar

Application No.: 09/903,019

Docket No. 42P11769

Sheet 2 of 4

Replacement sheet





FIG. 5

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR UPnP DEVICE CODE
GENERATION USING XML
Inventor: Murari Kumar
Application No.: 09/903,019
Sheet 3 of 4

Docket No. 42P11769

Replacement Sheet

FIG. 8



Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR XML
GENERATION USING XML
Inventor: Murari Kumar
Application No.: 09/903,019
Sheet 4 of 4

Docket No. 42P11769

Replacement Sheet




FIG. 9